

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Alloys and Compounds



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom

# *In situ* synthesis of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material for lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors with high capacity and supercapacitance

Bei Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Ying Wang<sup>a</sup>, Jinsoo Park<sup>b</sup>, Hyojun Ahn<sup>b</sup>, Guoxiu Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry and Forensic Science, University of Technology Sydney, City Campus, Broadway, Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia
<sup>b</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Gyeongsang National University, 900 Gazwa-dong Jinju, Gyeongnam 660-701, South Korea

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 February 2011 Received in revised form 27 April 2011 Accepted 27 April 2011 Available online 10 May 2011

Keywords: Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Graphene Lithium-ion batteries Supercapacitors

# ABSTRACT

Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material was prepared by an *in situ* solution-based method under reflux conditions. In this reaction progress, Co<sup>2+</sup> salts were converted to Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles which were simultaneously inserted into the graphene layers, upon the reduction of graphite oxide to graphene. The prepared material consists of uniform Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles (15–25 nm), which are well dispersed on the surfaces of graphene nanosheets. This has been confirmed through observations by field emission scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. The prepared composite material exhibits an initial reversible lithium storage capacity of 722 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> in lithium-ion cells and a specific supercapacitance of 478 F g<sup>-1</sup> in 2 M KOH electrolyte for supercapacitors, which were higher than that of the previously reported pure graphene nanosheets and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material demonstrated an excellent electrochemical performance as an anode material for reversible lithium storage in lithium ion cells and as an electrode material in supercapacitors.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Graphene is an allotrope of carbon atoms, which are bonded together in hexagonal sp<sup>2</sup> arrangement to form a two-dimensional (2D), single-layer of carbon sheets. The 2D layered graphene nanostructure shows to have ultra-high specific surface area [1-3]. The unique properties exhibited by graphene nanostructure, have attracted international research interests of its synthesis, characterizations, properties and applications. The synthetic methods of graphene derived from the classic Hummers method [4] have since been developed to suit many synthesis techniques, such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [5-7], electrolytic preparation [8], solvothermal and pyrolysis synthesis [9], and liquid phase exfoliation [10]. Graphene has shown to be applied as an anode material for lithium-ion batteries [11–13] and as a potential electrode material for supercapacitors [14], due to its outstanding electrochemical properties, low-cost and environmentally benign nature. The graphene composite materials comprise metal or metal oxide nanoparticles embedded between the layers of graphene nanosheets. The embedded nanoparticles have the ability to prevent graphene nanosheets from aggregation, thus maintaining the high surface area of graphene and improving their electrochemical performances. These composites therefore could have applications in lithium-ion batteries [15–17] and supercapacitors [18,19].

To date, Pt/graphene [18] and Au/graphene [20] composite materials have been prepared by chemical reduction, which resulted in metal nanoparticles depositing onto graphene layers. The synthesis of platinum and platinum-ruthenium nanoparticles supported graphene has also been reported [21]. These composites exhibited high electrocatalytic activities in the oxidation of methanol and ethanol. We have also reported the synthesis of Sn/graphene nanocomposite [22]. Sn nanoparticles (2-5 nm) were uniformly distributed onto the graphene nanosheets in a three-dimensional architecture. Our Sn/graphene nanocomposite was shown to deliver a reversible lithium storage capacity of 795 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> in the second cycle with enhanced cycling stability. Nanocomposite of silicon/graphene on the other hand, was obtained from expandable graphite and reported to have even higher specific capacity of 2753 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> with improved cycling capability [23]. The metal oxide/graphene nanocomposites such as SnO<sub>2</sub>/graphene could be prepared by reassembling graphene monolayers in the presence of SnO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles [15] and in situ chemical reduction [16]. The SnO<sub>2</sub>/graphene nanocomposites from both methods showed to have a better lithium storage capacity and excellent cycling stability. A super-paramagnetic composite such as Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene was produced via a chemical deposition. This product has shown to have promising applications for lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors [24]. In the case of CuO/graphene, the material was prepared from CuO and graphene upon reduc-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.: +61 2 95141741; fax: +61 2 95141460. *E-mail addresses*: Bei.Wang-1@student.uts.edu.au (B. Wang), Guoxiu.Wang@uts.edu.au (G. Wang).

<sup>0925-8388/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.04.152

tion using hydrazine vapor [17]. The formation of  $TiO_2/graphene$  composite was reported to facilitate by  $SO_4^{2-}$  surfactants, which acted as the stabilizer of the graphene monolayers *in situ* [25]. The prepared  $TiO_2/graphene$  composite exhibited more than double the specific capacity of pure  $TiO_2$  phase at high charge rate. Recently, we have synthesized  $Mn_3O_4/graphene$  nanocomposite with a specific capacitance of  $256 \text{ Fg}^{-1}$ , almost twice the value of bare graphene nanosheets [26]. A microwave-assist reaction was reported for the synthesis of ZnO/graphene nanocomposite in an aqueous solution [19]. The composite showed to have a supercapacitance of  $146 \text{ Fg}^{-1}$  with an excellent long term cycling capability.

Among the reported transition metal oxides, the cobalt (II, III) oxide  $(Co_3O_4)$  has delivered the promising electrochemical performance as an anode material for lithium-ion batteries [27] and superior specific capacitance for supercapacitors [28]. The synthesis of nanocrystalline  $Co_3O_4$  has been carried out with various methods to obtain nanosized  $Co_3O_4$  of different architectures, such as nanotubes [27], nanoparticles [29], nanorods [30], and hollowed spheres [31]. In the case of  $Co_3O_4$ /carbon nanotube (CNT), the nanocomposites showed to have good electrical conductivity and extra electrochemical properties, which could be potentially applicable in lithium-ion batteries [32] and supercapacitors [33].

In comparison, graphene nanosheets have a larger specific surface area, better organized 2D nanostructure, a more flexible carbon matrix and superior electrochemical properties than that of CNTs. In which case, we intent to prepare a Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite, by introducing Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles into graphene nanosheets. Wu et al. reported an *in situ* synthesis of graphene anchored with Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles in basic aqueous solution [34]. With the transformation of  $Co(OH)_2$  to  $Co_3O_4$  by calcination,  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene has been successfully obtained. In this paper, we report a new synthetic approach that involves the reduction of Co<sup>2+</sup> ions from the salt solution and air-oxidation to form Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles in situ of the graphene nanosheets. The  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene nanocomposite material will be investigated for their high electrochemical performance and potential applications as electrodes in lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors. The individual roles and contributions of graphene and the embedded Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles will also be discussed here.

#### 2. Experimental

Graphite oxide nanosheets (GONS) were prepared using a known but modified Hummers method [4,11]. 20 ml of GONS aqueous dispersion (2 mg GONS in 1 ml of distilled water) was then obtained by ultrasonication with an ultrasonic probe (Branson Digital Sonifier S450D, 500W, 30% amplitude) for an hour. The GONS dispersion was diluted to 80 ml with deionized water and mixed thoroughly with 20 ml of CoCl<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution (30 mg of CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) under magnetic stirring. Graphene oxide nanosheets have carboxylic acid, epoxyl and hydroxyl functional groups on the edge and on the basal plane [35]. Therefore, individual graphene nanosheet is negatively charged [36]. Co<sup>2+</sup> anions attracted and anchored on the basal planes and edges of GONS homogeneously. 20 ml of freshly prepared 5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> NaBH<sub>4</sub> solution was added dropwise within 10 min to the above solution and the mixed solution was refluxed at 100 °C for 3 h. During this process, GONS were reduced to graphene nanosheets (GNS) and Co<sup>2+</sup> anions to Co nanoparticles simultaneously. The mixture was refluxed at 100 °C in the air atmosphere; Co nanoparticles were oxidized to Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles rapidly. The reaction mechanism is depicted in Fig. S-1 (Supporting Information (SI)) and detailed in Ref. [22]. The resultant black precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed with deionized water and ethanol three times each, and dried under vacuum for 12 h. To increase crystallinity, the product was annealed at 200 °C under argon atmosphere for 15 h.

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the synthesized materials was measured using a GBC MMA X-ray diffractometer. The Raman spectrum was acquired on a Jobin Yvon HR800 confocal Raman system with 632.81 nm diode laser excitation on a 300 lines/mm grating at room temperature. Field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) observations were performed using a JEOL JSM-7500FA FESEM instrument with the sample loaded on a carbon tape. The transmission electron microscope (TEM) analysis was carried out using a JEOL 2011 TEM facility. Atomic force microscope (AFM) images were collected under tapping mode with a MFP-3D Stand Alone (MFD-3D-SA) AFM (manufactured by Asylum Research) with Super-SharpSilicon – Non-Contact/Tapping Mode – High Resonance Frequency – Reflex Coating (SSS-NCHR) AFM tips for enhanced resolution. The graphene (carbon) con-



Fig. 1. (a) XRD pattern and (b) Raman spectrum of the as-prepared Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material.

tent in the composite material was determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) on a Mettler Toledo TGA/DSC instrument in air at  $10 \,^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> at temperature range of 25–1000  $^{\circ}$ C. The specific surface area of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite was determined by the gas sorption technique using a Quanta Chrome Nova 1000 Gas Sorption Analyzer based on the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method.

Electrodes for electrochemical measurements were fabricated by mixing 89.9 wt% Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene powders and 10.1 wt% polyvinylidene difluoride (PVdF) in the presence of N-methyl pyrrolidinone (NMP), and the resultant slurry mixture was pasted onto copper foil for lithium-ion cell test and platinum foil substrates for supercapacitor, respectively, and then heated at 110°C under vacuum overnight. For lithium-ion batteries, CR2032-type coin cells were assembled in a glove box for electrochemical characterization. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> in a 1:1 mixture of ethylene carbonate and dimethyl carbonate. Li metal foil was used as the counter electrode. The cells were galvanostatically charged and discharged at a current of 55 mA, within the range of 0.01-3.0 V. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were collected at 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> within the range of 0.01-3.0 V using an electrochemistry workstation (CHI660C). For supercapacitors, the electrochemical properties were examined by the CV technique using the CHI660C electrochemistry workstation. A beaker-type three-electrode cell was assembled with a working electrode, a counter electrode (platinum foil), and a reference electrode (saturated calomel electrode (SCE)) immersed in 2 M KOH solution. CV was applied over the potential range of -0.25 to 0.55 V in 2 M KOH, at the scan rates of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, and 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. For comparative studies, the electrochemical performances of nanosized commercial Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powders (<50 nm, Sigma–Aldrich) and bare graphene nanosheets were investigated under the same conditions for lithium-ions batteries and supercapacitors.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1(a) shows the XRD pattern of the prepared  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene nanocomposite material. Well-defined diffraction peaks at around

 $19^{\circ}$ ,  $31^{\circ}$ ,  $37^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$ ,  $59^{\circ}$ , and  $65^{\circ}$  are indicative to nanosized  $Co_3O_4$ , which is in good agreement with the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) card 76-1802. The sharp diffraction peaks reflect the excellent crystallinity of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Graphene nanosheets (GNS) display broad graphitic (002) peak and weak (100) peak, as indicated in the XRD patterns, implying the breaking of the interplanar carbon bonds of the pristine graphite and the formation of graphene nanosheets. Fig. S-2(a) shows the XRD pattern of pure graphene nanosheets, as a confirmation of the graphene in composite material. In the Raman spectrum (Fig. 1(b)), two typical Raman peaks of carbon, the D line and G line as shown, are observed at 1333 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1598 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, which are consistent with the Raman spectrum of pure graphene nanosheets (Fig.S-2(b)). The D line is stronger than the G line, and the D/G intensity ratio in the spectrum is significantly larger than that of well-crystallized graphite, indicating the decrease in the sp<sup>2</sup> carbon matrix of pristine graphite and the exfoliation of graphene layers [37]. As the intensity of the D line and the G line is quite high, only three other Raman peaks are clearly visible. These three peaks are located at  $182 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $470 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $658 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, and can be assigned to the  $F_{2g}$ ,  $E_g$ , and  $A_{1g}$  active modes of the  $Co_3O_4$ nanoparticles. The frequencies of these three Raman peaks are similar to that found in the standard microcrystalline Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powders [38].

The morphology of the nanocomposite material was observed by FESEM in general beam-high resolution (GB-HR) mode. The acquired FESEM image (Fig. 2(a)) at a low magnification exhibits numerous of graphene nanosheets in a curly and corrugated states. At a higher magnification (Fig. 2(b)), Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, with a size of ~20 nm, can be found to distribute densely and homogeneously on the surfaces of graphene nanosheets. We also observed the insertion of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles between the interlayers of the graphene nanosheets (as shown in Fig. 2(b)), and this confirms the presence of the sandwich-like architecture of the composite. The crystal structure of the  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene nanocomposite material was further examined by TEM analysis. Fig. 3(a) shows a low magnification TEM image, in which Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles are clearly visible and dispersed homogenously on the graphene nanosheets. As the graphene nanosheets are corrugated in nature, some regions appeared darker, and the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles therefore appeared to be very close to each other, however, were actually isolated by different layers of graphene nanosheets. The particle sizes of these Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles were determined to be 15–25 nm, which is consistent with the results of FESEM observation. The inset in Fig. 3(a) shows a selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern within this examined area. The diffraction rings from inside to outside were indexed to (220), (311), (400), (511), (440), and (533) planes of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, respectively. A high resolution TEM (HRTEM) image focusing on a single Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle is shown in Fig. 3(b). Crystal fringes were clearly displayed, and the *d*-spacing of this Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle was derived to be 0.29 nm, corresponding to the spacing between (220) planes of nanocrystalline Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.

AFM images (Fig. 4) within a 400 nm by 400 nm area reveal surface traces of graphene nanosheets and  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 4(a),  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles are identified as bright dots. From the view of height differences, it clearly shows that some of the  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles were deposited on a lower layer of the graphene nanosheets, indicated by a lighter color above the red line. While others were dispersed on a relatively higher layer of graphene nanosheets, indicated by a brighter color below the red line. Graphene nanosheets were stacked in several layers and were corrugated when they were dried, resulting in height differences in the various areas examined. The  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles stabilized the graphene nanosheets and acted as spacers to prevent the graphene layers from aggregation. The  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles deposited at different height levels, as shown in this AFM



**Fig. 2.** FESEM images obtained under GB-HR mode: (a) numerous curly graphene nanosheets observed at a low magnification, and (b) a higher magnification image, displaying the distribution of  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles on graphene nanosheets. The embedded  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles are ~20 nm in size.

image. Fig. 4(b) presents a height profile across the examined region, as marked by the red line in Fig. 4(a). The height difference of the single Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle on the line is 17.8 nm, which is the size of the particle. The thickness of the graphene nanosheets lying on the line is evaluated to be 3-5 nm, which further confirmed the formation of several layers of graphene nanosheets. The weight percentage of the graphene nanosheets in Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite was determined by TGA measurement (as shown in Fig. S-3, SI). The dramatic weight loss was due to the decomposition of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> to CoO and the consumption of graphene in air as temperature increased. The final product left was CoO only with a weight percent of  $\sim$ 51.0%. The composition of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles in the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite was determined to be 45.4 wt% based on the weight percentage of CoO. Therefore, the nanocomposite consists of 45.4 wt% graphene and 54.6 wt% Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles.

The electrochemical reactivity of  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene nanocomposite as anode material in lithium ion cells was first assessed by CV measurements. Fig. 5 shows the CV curves of  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene electrode in the first, second, and 50th scanning cycles. The redox reactions involved between  $Co_3O_4$  and Li can be expressed as follows:

$$8Li^+ + Co_3O_4 + 8e^{-1} \leftrightarrow 4Li_2O + 3Co$$



**Fig. 3.** TEM images of the  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene nanocomposite material: (a) a low magnification image showing  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles dispersed on graphene nanosheets; (inset) the SAED pattern within this examined region; (b) an HRTEM image focusing on a single  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticle.

In the first cycle, the discharge curve has a longer sloping part, and two small cathodic peaks appear at 0.62 V and 0.84 V, which can be attributed to the formation of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer. Both of the peaks disappear from the second cycle. The other two reduction peaks are located around 0.6 V and 1.35 V, and could be due to the insertion of lithium ions into  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles at different stages. Two oxidation peaks appear around 1.32 V and 2.2 V, and could be due to the process of lithium ion extraction from the  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles. The insertion and extraction processes of lithium ions through graphene nanosheets are evidenced by the reduction and oxidation peaks located at 0.01 V and 0.17 V, respectively.

Fig. 6 shows the charge/discharge profile of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material as anode in a lithium cell under galvanostatic charge/discharge cycling at a current of 55 mA. In the first cycle, the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material delivered a lithium insertion capacity of 1433 mAh  $g^{-1}$  and a reversible charge capacity of 705 mAh  $g^{-1}$ . In the second cycle, a discharge capacity of 722 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> was obtained, while the reversibility was improved significantly and the composite electrode maintained a good cyclability. The theoretical capacity of lithium storage that graphene could contribute is  $744 \text{ mAh g}^{-1}$ , based on the assumption that all graphene nanosheets are well separated and strictly monolayers. On the other hand, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> should have a theoretical lithium capacity of  $890 \, \text{mAh} \, \text{g}^{-1}$ . Our  $\text{Co}_3 \text{O}_4/\text{graphene}$  nanocomposite material contains 54.6 wt% of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and 45.4 wt% of graphene nanosheets. Therefore, the possible maximum theoretical capacity of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material is calculated to be 823.7 mAh  $g^{-1}$ , based on the theoretical capacities of graphene



**Fig. 4.** AFM images covering an area of 400 nm by 400 nm: (a) surface evidence of graphene nanosheets and deposited  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles; (b) height profile across the red line; the particle size of the single  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticle on the line is 17.8 nm. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

 $(744 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1})$  and  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$ . It clearly shows that the initial reversible discharge capacity,  $722 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1}$ , is very close to the theoretical capacity of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material.

The reversible lithium storage capacity vs. cycle number of  $Co_3O_4/graphene$ , commercial  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles and bare graphene nanosheets is shown in Fig. 7. The  $Co_3O_4/graphene$  electrode delivered a reversible discharge capacity of 722 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> at 55 mA and maintained a capacity of 631 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 50 cycles. On the other hand, the  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticle electrode exhibited better lithium storage capacity at the first few cycles and then faded quickly during the cycling test. Only 230 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> was maintained after 50 cycles. The bare graphene electrode only exhibited a dis-



Fig. 5. CV curves of  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene anode over a voltage range of 0.01-3 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> in the 1st, 2nd and 50th cycles.



Fig. 6. Charge/discharge profiles of  $Co_3O_4/graphene$  anode at a current of 55 mA in the 1st, 2nd and 50th cycles.

charge capacity of 273 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 50 cycles and 255 mAh g<sup>-1</sup> after 100 cycles at 55 mA, as demonstrated in our previous studies [22]. Therefore, it has been shown that the  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene electrode performed much better than the bare graphene electrode or the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticle electrode, by exhibiting highly reversible lithium storage capacity, excellent cycling stability, and high Columbic efficiency. The enhancement of the electrochemical properties, compared to bare graphene and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles, can be attributed to the contributions of both the graphene nanosheets and the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. The distribution of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles among the graphene nanosheets has effectively separated these graphene nanosheets into uniformly layered structure and increased their lithium storage capacity. The graphene nanosheets in this case have provided carbons as a buffer medium to overcome the problem associated with the volume expansion/contraction in the lithium cells when Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles react with lithium by lithium insertion/extraction [15,16]. Furthermore, the aggregation of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles on the graphene nanosheets can be efficiently prevented. Therefore, upon cycling, the active material can resist cracking and crumbling, and maintain large capacity and cycling stability [15]. As graphene has good conductivity [39], the internal resistance in the lithium cells could be minimized to stabilize the electronic and ionic conductivity, thereby leading to a higher specific capacity [40].



Fig. 7. Cycling performance of  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene nanocomposite material,  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles, and bare graphene nanosheets as anode materials at a current of 55 mA.



**Fig. 8.** CV curves of  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene as working electrode in a supercapacitor cell in 2 M KOH over a voltage range of -0.25 to 0.55 V at scan rates of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, 20 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, and 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Fig. 8 shows the CV curves of the as-prepared  $Co_3O_4$ /graphene nanocomposite material as electrode material in supercapacitor in 2 M KOH electrolyte at different scanning rates. All the CV curves present a similar shape with oxidation and reduction peaks at various scan rates. The oxidation peak at 0.36 V and the reduction peak at 0.42 V were assigned to the redox reactions arising from the embedded Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles in 2 M KOH. In the lower voltage region, the -0.01 V oxidation peak and the 0.04 V reduction peak are due to the effects of epoxyl, hydroxyl, and carboxyl functional groups attached to the graphene nanosheets. These functional groups formed in the chemical synthesis process of graphene nanosheets [35,37]. The indirect evidence for these surface functional groups in the graphene-based composites was confirmed by us, by elemental analysis in our previous studies [26]. The maximum specific capacitance evaluated is  $478 \,\mathrm{Fg}^{-1}$ at a scan rate of  $5 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . It is considered that double layer capacitance is generated by the graphene, while Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles contribute pseudocapacitance from redox reactions to the total specific capacitance. Nanosized commercial Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powders (<50 nm) and bare graphene nanosheets yield a maximum specific capacitance of  $118 \text{ Fg}^{-1}$  and  $245 \text{ Fg}^{-1}$ , respectively, at  $5 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  in 2 M KOH (Fig. S-4). Compared to the specific capacitance delivered by commercial Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles and bare graphene nanosheets, the electrochemical performance of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene composite material is significantly increased as Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles are inserted into graphene nanosheets. The presence of the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles prevents the graphene nanosheets from aggregation, making both sides of the graphene nanosheets accessible for K<sup>+</sup> ion insertion and de-insertion. Graphene nanosheets also provide highly conductive carbon matrix to minimize the internal resistance and facilitate the electrochemical activities. As bare graphene nanosheets are in the form of multi-layers, the BET surface area of graphene is only  $93.7 \, m^2 \, g^{-1}$  and not sufficiently extended [26]. However, on the other hand, the BET surface area of our Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene composite material obtained from the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms in Fig. S-5, is dramatically extended to  $219.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  due to the function of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles as spacers. The extended graphene layers, with enlarged interlayer space and surface area, are therefore favorable for the formation of double layer capacitance. Furthermore, the particle size of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in graphene domain is restricted upon formation between graphene layers and is relatively smaller (15-25 nm), compared to commercial Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles (<50 nm). The superior electrochemical behavior of the composite material is also associated with these smaller embedded nanoparticles. The decrease of the particle size

of the deposited  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles would lead to the increase of the specific capacitance of those nanoparticles in the composite. Similar effect has been reported for composites having particles of RuO<sub>2</sub> deposited on carbon nanofibres [41]. It is also very interesting to find that the surface-related capacitance of the composite material is 2.18 F m<sup>-2</sup>. This result is relatively larger than ordinary carbon [42], however, similar to those of carbon materials with surface functionalities [43,44]. It was proposed that surface functional groups attached to the carbon materials could provide supplementary pseudocapacitive contribution to a dominant double-layer capacitance to enhance the specific capacitance of the modified carbon materials [45–48]. Therefore, we believe the functional groups in our nanocomposite material are also electrochemical active, and could participate in the redox reactions and contribute extra psedocapacitance to the electrochemical performance.

In summary, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles play two major roles in the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/graphene nanocomposite material in managing the enhancement of the electrochemical performance of the composite material. Firstly, the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles act as spacers to stabilize the graphene nanosheets. The interlayer space and accessible surface area of the graphene are therefore extended when Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles are inserted. When applied in lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors, graphene provides a highly conductive medium and much more flexible layers with enlarged interlayer space and increased surface area, benefiting the electrochemical performance in energy storage. Secondly, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles participate in the electrochemical activities, not only in lithium-ion batteries, but also in the supercapacitors. Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles can deliver a high lithium-ion storage capacity and pseudocapacitance from redox reactions, and therefore make electrochemical contributions towards the overall electrochemical performance of the composite material.

### 4. Conclusions

An *in situ* chemical method has been developed to prepare  $Co_3O_4/graphene$  nanocomposite material for energy storage application. The prepared composite material consists of uniform  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles (15–25 nm in size) distributed on separated graphene nanosheets. The electrochemical properties of the composite material are enhanced significantly, as embedded  $Co_3O_4$  nanoparticles can protect graphene from aggregation.  $Co_3O_4/graphene$  nanocomposite material exhibited a high lithium storage capacity of 722 mAhg<sup>-1</sup> in lithium-ion cells and a high supercapacitance of 478 Fg<sup>-1</sup> in supercapacitors.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the Australian Research Council (ARC) for financial support through the ARC Discovery Project (DP1093855) and the ARC Linkage Project (LP0989134). We would also like to acknowledge the support from the National Foundation of Korea through a World Class University (WCU) Program (R32-2008-000-20093-0). Assoc. Prof. Alison Ung is highly appreciated for proofreading of the manuscript.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jallcom.2011.04.152.

#### References

- K.S. Novoselov, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, T.J. Booth, V.V. Khotkevich, S.V. Morozov, A.K. Geim, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102 (2005) 10451–10453.
- [2] A.K. Geim, K.S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater. 6 (2007) 183-191.
- [3] S. Stankovich, D.A. Dikin, G.H.B. Dommett, K.M. Kohlhaas, E.J. Zimney, E.A. Stach, R. Piner, S.T. Nguyen, R.S. Ruoff, Nature 442 (2006) 282–286.
- [4] W.S. Hummers, R.E. Offeman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80 (1958) 1339.
- [5] K.S. Kim, Y. Zhao, H. Jang, S.Y. Lee, J.M. Kim, K.S. Kim, J.-H. Ahn, P. Kim, J.-Y. Choi, B.H. Hong, Nature 457 (2009) 706–710.
- [6] A. Dato, V. Radmilovic, Z. Lee, J. Phillips, M. Frenklach, Nano Lett. 8 (2008) 2012–2016.
- [7] H.J. Park, J. Meyer, S. Roth, V. Skákalová, Carbon 48 (2010) 1088–1094.
- [8] G.X. Wang, B. Wang, J. Park, Y. Wang, B. Sun, J. Yao, Carbon 47 (2009) 3242–3246.
- [9] M. Choucair, P. Thordarson, J.A. Stride, Nat. Nanotech. 4 (2009) 30–33.
   [10] M. Lotya, Y. Hernandez, P.J. King, R.J. Smith, V. Nicolosi, L.S. Karlsson, F.M. Blighe, S. De, Z. Wang, I.T. McGovern, G.S. Duesberg, J.N. Coleman, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- 131 (2009) 3611–3620. [11] G.X. Wang, X.P. Shen, J. Yao, J. Park, Carbon 47 (2009) 2049–2053.
- [12] P. Guo, H. Song, X. Chen, Electrochem. Commun. 11 (2009) 1320–1324.
- [13] E.J. Yoo, J. Kim, E. Hosono, H.S. Zhou, T. Kudo, I. Honma, Nano Lett. 8 (2008) 2277–2282.
- [14] M.D. Stoller, S. Park, Y. Zhu, J. An, S.R. Ruoff, Nano Lett. 8 (2008) 3498-3502.
- [15] S.-M. Paek, E.J. Yoo, I. Honma, Nano Lett. 9 (2009) 72–75.
- [16] J. Yao, X.P. Shen, B. Wang, H. Liu, G.X. Wang, Electrochem. Commun. 11 (2009) 1849–1852.
- [17] C. Xu, X. Wang, L. Yang, Y. Wu, J. Solid State Chem. 182 (2009) 2486-2490.
- [18] Y. Si, E.T. Samulski, Chem. Mater. 20 (2008) 6792-6797.
- [19] T. Lu, L.K. Pan, H.B. Li, G. Zhu, T. Lv, X.J. Liu, Z. Sun, T. Chen, D.H.C. Chua, J. Alloys Compd. 509 (2011) 5488–5492.
- [20] R. Muszynski, B. Seger, P.V. Kamat, J. Phys. Chem. C 112 (2008) 5263-5266.
- [21] L. Dong, R.R.S. Gari, Z. Li, M.M. Craig, S. Hou, Carbon 48 (2010) 781–787.
- [22] G.X. Wang, B. Wang, X.L. Wang, J. Park, S.X. Dou, H. Ahn, K. Kim, J. Mater. Chem. 19 (2009) 8378–8384.
- [23] H.F. Xiang, K. Zhang, G. Ji, J.Y. Lee, C.J. Zhou, X.D. Chen, J.S. Wu, Carbon 49 (2011) 1787–1796.
- [24] B.J. Li, H.Q. Cao, J. Shao, M.Z. Qu, J.H. Warner, J. Mater. Chem. 21 (2011) 5069–5075.
- [25] D.H. Wang, D.W. Choi, J. Li, Z.G. Yang, Z.M. Nie, R. Kou, D.H. Hu, L.V. Saraf, J.G. Zhang, I.A. Aksay, J. Liu, ACS Nano 3 (2009) 907–914.
- [26] B. Wang, J. Park, C. Wang, H. Ahn, G. Wang, Electrochim. Acta 55 (2010) 6812-6817.
- [27] X.W. Lou, D. Deng, J.Y. Lee, J. Feng, L.A. Archer, Adv. Mater. 20 (2008) 258–262.
- [28] S.L. Xiong, C.Z. Yuan, M.F. Zhang, B.J. Xi, Y.T. Qian, Chem. Eur. J. 15 (2009) 5320–5326.
- [29] J. Ma, S.P. Zhang, W. Liu, Y. Zhao, J. Alloys Compd. 490 (2010) 647–651.
- [30] G.X. Wang, X.P. Shen, J. Horvat, B. Wang, H. Liu, D. Wexler, J. Yao, J. Phys. Chem.
- C 113 (2009) 4357–4361.
- [31] L. Yang, W.S. Guan, B. Bai, Q. Xu, Y. Xiang, J. Alloys Compd. 504 (2010) L10–L13.
   [32] G.X. Wang, X.P. Shen, J. Yao, D. Wexler, J.-H. Ahn, Electrochem. Commun. 11 (2009) 546–549.
- [33] Y. Shan, L. Gao, Mater, Chem. Phys. 103 (2007) 206–210.
- [34] Z.S. Wu, W. Ren, L. Wen, L. Gao, J. Zhao, Z. Chen, G. Zhou, F. Li, H.M. Cheng, ACS Nano 4 (2010) 3187–3194
- [35] N.I. Kovtyukhova, P.J. Oliver, B.R. Martin, T.E. Mallouk, S.A. Chizhik, E.V. Buzaneva, A.D. Gorchinskiy, Chem. Mater. 11 (1999) 771–778.
- [36] D. Li, M.B. Müller, S. Gilje, R.B. Kaner, G.G. Wallace, Nat. Nanotech. 3 (2008) 101–105.
- [37] S. Stankovich, D.A. Dikin, R.D. Piner, K.A. Kohlhaas, A. Kleinhammes, Y. Jia, Y. Wu, S.T. Nguyen, R.S. Ruoff, Carbon 45 (2007) 1558–1565.
- [38] V.G. Hadjiev, M.N. Iliev, I.V. Vergilov, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 21 (1988) L199-201.
- [39] Z.S. Wu, W. Ren, L. Gao, B. Liu, C. Jiang, H.M. Cheng, Carbon 47 (2009) 493-499.
- [40] C.H. Jiang, E. Hosono, H.S. Zhou, Nano Today 1 (2006) 28-33.
- [41] F. Pico, J. Ibañez, M.A. Lillo-Rodenas, A. Linares-Solano, R.M. Rojas, J.M. Amarilla, J.M. Rojo, J. Power Sources 176 (2008) 417–425.
- [42] K. Xia, Q. Gao, J. Jiang, J. Hu, Carbon 46 (2008) 1718-1726.
- [43] D. Hulicova, J. Yamashita, Y. Soneda, H. Hatori, M. Kodama, Chem. Mater. 17 (2005) 1241-1247.
- [44] D. Hulicova, M. Kodama, H. Hatori, Chem. Mater. 18 (2006) 2318-2326.
- [45] E. Raymundo-Piñero, F. Leroux, F. Béguin, Adv. Mater. 18 (2006) 1877-1882.
- [46] F. Béguin, K. Szostak, G. Lota, E. Frackowiak, Adv. Mater. 17 (2005) 2380-2384.
- [47] D. Hulicova, M. Kodama, S. Shiraishi, H. Hatori, Z.H. Zhu, G.Q. Lu, Adv. Funct. Mater. 19 (2009) 1800–1809.
- [48] E. Frackowiak, G. Lota, J. Machnikowski, C. Vix-Guterl, F. Béguin, Electrochim. Acta 51 (2006) 2209–2214.